



SPECIAL 2010 ELECTION NEWSLETTER

Alaska State Legislative Candidate POSITIONS AND PRIORITIES

Dear Doyon Shareholder,

For the past several years, Doyon, Limited has sponsored a "Get out the Native Vote" campaign to inform, register and encourage Doyon shareholders to participate in elections. We are continuing this initiative this Fall, focusing on State legislative seats in the Interior (House Districts 6 through 11).

This newsletter is to inform you of the viewpoints, positions and priorities of the candidates running in your district in the August 24th primary election.

Doyon is not taking a position on any of the candidates; rather the corporation is providing the candidates' viewpoints to you so you can make an informed decision.

However, Doyon is taking a position on Ballot Measure 1 – a measure that would make it a crime for you or your family members to make any political donation if you are an employee of the State of Alaska, a city or village. The measure would also

prohibit local governments from using public funds to hire or contract with a lobbyist to advocate for local needs. This could result in less funding for vital local projects. **Doyon strongly recommends a "no" vote on this ballot measure.**

Doyon encourages you to read this newsletter and carefully consider the candidates' viewpoints as they seek your vote to represent you in state government.

Your voting location is listed above your name and address on this special election newsletter.

Respectfully,

Norman L. Phillips, Jr.
President and CEO, Doyon, Limited

DISTRICT 11

Alaska State Legislative Candidates

- Sean P. Rice (Democrat)
- Tammie Wilson (Republican) - Incumbent

QUESTIONS	PRIORITY	SEAN RICE	TAMMIE WILSON
What are your top three priorities as a State Legislator?	1ST PRIORITY	As a native Alaskan my first priority is to make sure that our people have the same advantages as everyone else to higher education, work development, and the right to hold our traditional values.	Protecting Private Property Rights.
	2ND PRIORITY	Is to ensure that the education system is fair and abundant through not only our cities but our villages as well.	Using our natural resources to increase the quality of life for our residents.
	3RD PRIORITY	That we work toward all Alaskan hire and native preference on all of our in state projects.	Opposing federal mandates upon our communities.

QUESTIONS	SEAN RICE	TAMMIE WILSON
Do you support or oppose an Alaska Native preference for subsistence hunting and fishing?	Support	Oppose
What's the main reason you support or oppose an Alaska Native subsistence preference?	I have family in the villages that depend on this for food. It is also a tradition. It is important for us to make sure that our youth have the means and knowledge to keep our traditions and values for our people to survive in the future.	Alaskan resources should be available equally for all Alaskans.
Do you support or oppose amending Alaska's rural Power Cost Equalization (PCE) program so it could include providing money directly to rural schools and local businesses?	Support	Support
What's the main reason you support/oppose amending Alaska's PCE program?	It is important to make sure that our rural communities have a way to keep up with day to day business. The local business is the life flow of that community, this is where they can get the items and resources they need, and if we can help keep some of the cost down, then that savings passes on to their customers. We need to remember that we also have to help provide and maintain our educational facilities. The schools in the villages are not only for school, but they are also used as gathering places for the community.	The effect may reduce energy costs more quickly, and accountably, than municipal administration.
State House bill HB74 (Re: Coastal Management Program) was under consideration in the 2009-2010 legislative session. If you had been in the state legislature, would you have supported or opposed HB74?	Support	Oppose
What's the main reason you support/oppose HB74?	As for what I have an understanding of this, it is giving the people a voice and resource to stipulate boundaries of the use of our lands and water lines. It is also giving us the ability to relay the concerns of the people that may be affected of any state or federal projects that occur around the villages. It also gives an opportunity to apply for grants or support for the communities as well. My only concern is to ensure that the land and water use of the population is defined and is not infringed upon in anyway. The native Alaskans have fought long and hard to keep their land, pride and values. If I see any language that may infringe upon this, it will not have my support.	All communities impacted with this legislation would not have been represented adequately.
Do you feel lack of Alaska hire is or is not a serious problem in Alaska?	Is	Is
What would you recommend be done to increase Alaska hire?	Education, in every aspect of any job, there is a certain level of education that is required. If you are working in a restaurant, in the medical field, in law, construction industry, and any other field the most important thing is to have a comprehensive knowledge of the job. We need to have more of our people to further our education, in apprentice programs vocational education, in secondary education, or any. We need to have our people to get into positions where we keep it Alaskan, and ensure that there is Alaskan hire. We do that by education, but also by supporting legislation that promotes Alaskan hire for Alaskan projects. When we do this we keep our children here, we keep our resources here and we keep our money here. Instead of going to other states and supporting their infrastructure.	Incentives for companies to hire locally. Train our children in areas in which there is a demand.
In your opinion, should the funding for Denali Kid Care be replaced if the money can't be used for abortions, should the funding be replaced even if it is used for abortions, or should the funding not be replaced at all?	Replace funding with abortions covered.	Don't replace funding
Denali Kid Care comments	This is a hard one; I think that education of pregnancies is very important. I also believe that we need to regulate this to make sure that it is being used in serious manners and not just being utilized for birth control.	
Do you feel the drop-out rate among Alaska Native students in Junior and Senior high school is or is not a serious problem in Alaska?	Is	Is
What would you recommend be done to reduce the drop-out rate?	As a Fairbanks North Star Borough School Board member, I encourage parents to be active in their children's education. With all the technology that is out there, there is no reason that the schools could not inform the parents of their school progress. I am also in favor of alternate education, such as charter schools and magnet schools. I have seen the effects that it has on the children when education is presented to them in a different manner to which they can understand. I also believe we have a good resource with our teachers, we need to help and support them. I will also encourage a stronger backing for our local school boards and support them in their ability to function and their commitment on the education of our children.	We need to identify students at an earlier age and direct existing financial resources to assist them.
Do you support or oppose increased funding for charter and alternative education programs in Alaska?	Support	Oppose
Are there any particular charter or alternative programs that you support? Please list:	As a Fairbanks North Star Borough School Board member one of my favorites is Effie Kokrine. It has brought traditional values to education.	
Are there any particular charter or alternative programs that you oppose? Please list:		No.
Do you believe Alaska state government spending is or is not sustainable at the current level?	Is sustainable	Is not sustainable
Please describe why you feel state spending is/is not sustainable at the current level.	We need to stop spending and start investing. It was explained to me when you purchase something, that is it. But, when you build a road, that is an investment. You put people to work, that road connects point A to point B, and it gives a chance for a gas/convenience store to open up on either end of the road. Keeps truckers going and a road maintenance crew, which is an investment and something that continues. We need to look at other alternative income since oil is not always going to be there -- our natural resources are not always going to be there. We need to find a way for all Alaskans to sustain a suitable income and still have a fiscally sound state government.	We are spending money based on oil prices; when they drop we have developed programs in which there will not be enough money to go around. We need a more self-sufficient government based on economic growth.
Do you support or oppose amending Alaska's Clear and Equitable Share legislation (ACES) to lower the tax rates on the oil industry?	Oppose	Support
Please describe why you support/oppose amending ACES.	The oil companies have cried too much before. When in 2007 the CEO of BP can walk away and have a severance package of 600,000,000, I do not think they are hurting. In Brazil they are paying them more for oil development than they are here in the state of Alaska and Brazil has a mandate of 90% local hire. I am not trying to bash the oil companies, they do good for Alaska. The ones I would look for cutting back on taxes is the small business owners, the ones who are invested here in the state and in its people. -- in the Native corporations who have a vested interest in its people and their land.	Periodically all agreements should be re-evaluated with all parties involved to make a stronger relationship.
Changes in tax policies, regulatory burdens and environmental regulations all impact economic progress. What would be your number one recommendation to encourage economic development in Alaska?	We can come up with a blueprint for any development of land resources for Alaska, to ensure that we can do this in an economic and environmentally safe manner. Before any of this is done one of my main concerns will be how is this going to benefit Alaska and her people, what environmental benefit/harm will this have, how would this affect the workers of Alaska and businesses? These are all questions that I would have. I would want to go and meet with the people and see the impact that any policy will have in the affected area. In order to have a sound growth it cannot have a negative effect upon Alaska or her people. We can encourage businesses to start or invite businesses to come to Alaska so we can share our great state with others.	Reducing regulations. Actively oppose unnecessary federal mandates.
Do you support or oppose oil and gas exploration and development in ANWR?	Support: With the fuel prices the way that they are we cannot overlook this possibility. We need to make sure that we are in control so we can make sure that we can do it in an economic and environmentally safe manner. We also need to make sure we put Alaskans to work first	Support
Do you support or oppose outer continental shelf and off-shore oil and gas exploration and development?	Support	Support
Please describe why you support/oppose outer continental shelf and off-shore development?	This is another area that will bring jobs to Alaska. The only support that they will get is if they can do this in a way where they are not destroying the environment, or disturbing the way of life of our people.	I believe the technology is available to do it safely and economically.
Do you support or oppose the Port Authority's purchase of Fairbanks Natural Gas (FNG)?	Support	Support
Please describe why you support/oppose the Port Authority's purchase of FNG?	Natural gas is coming and we need to make sure that the people of this community are going to see the benefits of natural gas. When a local entity can purchase and control what is happening, and make sure that they can pass the savings on to the people, then I am for it.	If private entities wish to do legitimate business with one another, the government should not interfere.
There is a proposal to combine all major Railbelt utilities (GRET) into a single energy corporation. Some say this is a good idea because of potential efficiencies; while others say it is a bad idea because it is potentially a monopoly and could stop private sector energy exploration and development. Would you support or oppose a proposal to combine all major Railbelt utilities into a single energy corporation?	Oppose	Oppose
Please describe why you support/oppose GRET.	When you give that much power to one entity then you are inadvertently giving them the power to your pocket book. When you give an entity that much power they tend to look at the bottom line and forget about where they came from. I myself could not give someone that much power over me. That is why I believe in a competitive market.	I am unconvinced that GRET in its current form will benefit utility customers in the Interior. I remain open to discussion on the matter.