



# SPECIAL 2010 ELECTION NEWSLETTER

## Alaska State Legislative Candidate POSITIONS AND PRIORITIES

Dear Doyon Shareholder,

For the past several years, Doyon, Limited has sponsored a "Get out the Native Vote" campaign to inform, register and encourage Doyon shareholders to participate in elections. We are continuing this initiative this Fall, focusing on State legislative seats in the Interior (House Districts 6 through 11).

This newsletter is to inform you of the viewpoints, positions and priorities of the candidates running in your district in the August 24th primary election.

Doyon is not taking a position on any of the candidates; rather the corporation is providing the candidates' viewpoints to you so you can make an informed decision.

However, Doyon is taking a position on Ballot Measure 1 – a measure that would make it a crime for you or your family members to make any political donation if you are an employee of the State of Alaska, a city or village. The measure would also

prohibit local governments from using public funds to hire or contract with a lobbyist to advocate for local needs. This could result in less funding for vital local projects. **Doyon strongly recommends a "no" vote on this ballot measure.**

Doyon encourages you to read this newsletter and carefully consider the candidates' viewpoints as they seek your vote to represent you in state government.

Your voting location is listed above your name and address on this special election newsletter.

Respectfully,

**Norman L. Phillips, Jr.**  
President and CEO, Doyon, Limited

## DISTRICT 7

### Alaska State Legislative Candidates

- Mike Kelly (Republican) - Incumbent
- Bob Miller (Democrat)

QUESTIONS	PRIORITY	BOB MILLER	MIKE KELLY
What are your top three priorities as a State Legislator?	<b>1ST PRIORITY</b>	Affordable energy.	Alaska gas for Alaska hubs.
	<b>2ND PRIORITY</b>	Access to education and vocational training.	Roads to resources and Western Alaska to create economic development and jobs.
	<b>3RD PRIORITY</b>	Diversification of the Alaskan economy.	Susitna Hydroelectric and other long term energy investment infrastructure.

QUESTIONS	BOB MILLER	MIKE KELLY
Do you support or oppose an Alaska Native preference for subsistence hunting and fishing?	Support	Oppose
What's the main reason you support or oppose an Alaska Native subsistence preference?	People who live off the land generally do not have the access nor the option to go to a store for their staple needs. If subsistence is their choice, I support their historic claims to have first priority.	My kids and grandkids are Native Alaskans and value Alaska fish and game resources just as modern Alaska Natives do. I long for a completely color-blind Alaska.
Do you support or oppose amending Alaska's rural Power Cost Equalization (PCE) program so it could include providing money directly to rural schools and local businesses?	Support	Oppose
What's the main reason you support/oppose amending Alaska's PCE program?	Rural communities should not be left out of opportunities afforded to those who live in more urban locations.	It's way past time to use our resource wealth to provide road access and low cost energy to rural Alaska hubs and resource areas in order to create jobs, hope and sustainability are the wellsprings of Alaska's economy. PCE is a bridge and I do not favor expanding it in this economic environment.
State House bill HB74 (Re: Coastal Management Program) was under consideration in the 2009-2010 legislative session. If you had been in the state legislature, would you have supported or opposed HB74?	Support	Oppose
What's the main reason you support/oppose HB74?	The coastal areas of Alaska, especially in the west, are changing at an alarming rate. To serve these areas and the communities there, it is sensible to have boards in place to be 'on site' for planning and advice.	Alaska is dead last in our nation relative to business and economic growth friendliness. Regulation, permitting, environmental activism and the EPA/ESA have slowed Alaska to a crawl. Our people are deserting the Bush and the sad statistic of declining average annual income has all Alaskans by the throat. I don't want to give veto power to coastal and upstream Alaskans that may harm my kids and grandkids.
Do you feel lack of Alaska hire is or is not a serious problem in Alaska?	Is	Is
What would you recommend be done to increase Alaska hire?	Educate contractors and business owners of the increased value of keeping money in our community and our state. Increasing the course curriculum in our schools and university to better train Alaskans for the jobs and industries that need to hire.	Build roads to resources as I mentioned above. Build the bullet line and Susitna, etc. to provide low cost energy to Alaska hubs as mentioned above. Strengthen our families by providing private sector jobs and promoting personal responsibility and accountability. Celebrate clean. Celebrate employment. Create local hero/mentors to get our kids off booze, weed, meth, oxy and into training and education, and on to the job. Create a level playing field regardless of rural or urban, union or non-union.
In your opinion, should the funding for Denali Kid Care be replaced if the money can't be used for abortions, should the funding be replaced even if it is used for abortions, or should the funding not be replaced at all?	Replace funding without abortion coverage	Don't replace funding
Denali Kid Care comments		No more entitlements. Unsustainable and promotes dependence.
Do you feel the drop-out rate among Alaska Native students in Junior and Senior high school is or is not a serious problem in Alaska?	Is	Is
What would you recommend be done to reduce the drop-out rate?	There are successful programs in the Lower 49 and a few here that get the entire community behind keeping kids in school. We can adopt the best of these plans and make a difference in getting our young people to stay in school. More diversification in the economy will also help, by showing young people that there are good paying careers to be had right here in Alaska.	Promote and celebrate alternatives (charter, private, Best Beginnings, Imagination Library, home schooling, etc.). The current NEA-driven agenda is failing our kids. How about vouchers direct to parents whether in Anchorage or Nicolai, for use in any school, public or private? Perhaps our grand educational experiment has demonstrated that we need fewer, larger rural schools and districts? Also, see above concerning jobs and strengthening families, etc.
Do you support or oppose increased funding for charter and alternative education programs in Alaska?	Support	Support
Are there any particular charter or alternative programs that you support? Please list:	I can't claim to have enough knowledge on this subject at this time. But my 57 years have taught me that any money and effort INVESTED in education pays for itself, many times over. It's not just money spent, the dividends are reaped in later years.	Given our current low graduation rate, I'm broadly supportive of trying parent-driven programs which make kids the top priority instead of NEA and tenure.
Are there any particular charter or alternative programs that you oppose? Please list:		
Do you believe Alaska state government spending is or is not sustainable at the current level?	Is sustainable	Is not sustainable
Please describe why you feel state spending is/is not sustainable at the current level.	My answer is qualified by my optimism. I believe we can and will diversify our economy, and find ways to replace the revenue we now enjoy coming from the oil patch. So to maintain that level of spending, we must create new businesses and opportunities for new emerging industries here in Alaska.	Oil exploration and drilling are declining, gas is years away. The oil pipeline is 1/3 full and declining. Only high oil prices have saved us; and they are a fickle, two-edged sword.
Do you support or oppose amending Alaska's Clear and Equitable Share legislation (ACES) to lower the tax rates on the oil industry?	Oppose	Support
Please describe why you support/oppose amending ACES.	The current tax levels and rates seem fair and sustainable at present.	To get ACES through the legislature during the VECCO scandal, it had to be set too high in my opinion. Then the world fell apart in '07-'09. In order to get the economic activity and jobs from increased pipeline throughput, I'd be willing to reduce taxes to whatever point necessary - even royalty-only - if that's what it takes on heavy oil, for example, to get increased throughput, instead of steady decline.
Changes in tax policies, regulatory burdens and environmental regulations all impact economic progress. What would be your number one recommendation to encourage economic development in Alaska?	Investment in education (to provide a ready work force) investment in infrastructure, roads and internet access everywhere in Alaska, and tax policies favorable to attracting new growth industries to our state.	They are all in my cross-hairs. But tax policy consideration is number one.
Do you support or oppose oil and gas exploration and development in ANWR?	Support	Support
Do you support or oppose outer continental shelf and off-shore oil and gas exploration and development?	Oppose	Support
Please describe why you support/oppose outer continental shelf and off-shore development?	Recent developments in the Gulf of Mexico persuade me that we do not have adequate safety systems in place to respond to an underwater accident. Once those systems and methods are proven, I would be open to having my opinion changed.	I want the oil pipeline full and the economic activity it will bring. We can do it within an acceptable risk range and the upside is worth that risk.
Do you support or oppose the Port Authority's purchase of Fairbanks Natural Gas (FNG)?	Support	Support
Please describe why you support/oppose the Port Authority's purchase of FNG?	It seems a natural migration to consolidate these two entities into one unit.. hopefully making it more efficient.	It's currently Fairbanks' only bridge to pipeline gas. I'll rely on GVEA and the Port Authority, to proceed or stop based on sound fuel supply economics, as long as the legislature is not putting up the money and the public is protected from bond delinquency risk. Let's ease ahead on this one.
There is a proposal to combine all major Railbelt utilities (GRETC) into a single energy corporation. Some say this is a good idea because of potential efficiencies; while others say it is a bad idea because it is potentially a monopoly and could stop private sector energy exploration and development. Would you support or oppose a proposal to combine all major Railbelt utilities into a single energy corporation?	Oppose	Support
Please describe why you support GRETC.	I see new and greener technologies emerging as a sensible way to lower our costs for energy in the Railbelt. One large monopoly might react favorably to such new ideas, or it may block these efforts to evolve. My answer is not as simple as yes or no	If our individual utilities' elected boards chose to join GRETC to better enable them to finance generation and transmission, approach the legislature in unison, jointly build and own shared assets, etc. I will support them as long as the state government role is kept to a minimum.